

# ● PRINTER RUSH ●

(PTO ASSISTANCE)

Application : <u>08/821890</u>	Examiner : <u>SRIVASTAVA</u>	GAU : <u>2611</u>
From: <u>NPB</u>	Location: <u>IDC</u> FMF FDC	Date: <u>03/26/05</u>

Tracking #: epm 08821890      Week Date: 03/07/05

DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLANEOUS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1449		<input type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data
<input type="checkbox"/> IDS		<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CLM	<u>07/19/04</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IIFW	<u>03/01/05</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Fees
<input type="checkbox"/> SRFW		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>NOA (03/01/05)</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> DRW		<u>ABST (01/25/99)</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> OATH		
<input type="checkbox"/> 312		
<input type="checkbox"/> SPEC		

**RECEIVED**

APR 14 2005

DIRECTOR OFFICE  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000

**[RUSH] MESSAGE:**

① Original claim 15 is cancelled on claim pages dated 07/19/04, but still shown as allowed in NOA and/or Index of claim. please advise/correct.

② Should the abstract dated 01/25/99 be printed? It has a note of "N.E." - not entered? please verify.

*Thomkey*

**[XRUSH] RESPONSE:**

① claim 15 ~~is~~ is cancelled - Fixed in New Notice of Allowance.

② ~~Now~~ No! The New Abstract should not be printed.

**INITIALS:** VS

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.

REV 10/04